Marked Leucocytosis Masquerading Chronic Leukemia: case series

Rupali Awale¹, Ratnaprabha Maji¹, Dinesh Chandra², Subhadra Sharma¹, Renu Saxena², A K Mukhopadhyay¹

¹Deparment OfLaboratory Medicine, All India Institute Of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India ²Deparment OfHematology, All India Institute Of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

ABSTRACT: The term leukemoid reaction (LR) was initially coined by Krumbhaar in 1926. Since then, a lot of studies have been conducted to understand the pathophysiology and its role in various diseases. The cut off value for LR has not yet been defined; however a clear cut distinction has to be made between LR and chronic leukemia as both are treated differently. Present study is a case series of ten patients presenting with high total leucocyte count ranging from 55,300 cells/mm³ to 1,49,000 cells/mm³; mean 73,500 cells/mm³. A total leucocyte count of about 1,49,000/mm3 as seen in this case series can masquerade chronic leukemia. A simple laboratory test like Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase can help to distinguish between them. LR is a rare condition, which can be challenging as it is associated with increased mortality among patients so it requires a careful diagnostic work-up including a combination of complete blood count with peripheral blood smear whichshows marked neutrophiliawith left shift and a high LAP score.

Keywords: Chronic leukemia, Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase score, Marked leucocytosis.

I. Introduction

The term leukemoidreaction (LR) was initially coined by Krumbhaar in 1926 [1]. Since then, a lot of studies have been conducted to understand the pathophysiology and its role in various diseases [2,3]. A markedly elevated total leucocyte count(TLC) has been associated with various haematological malignancies, but the cut off value for LR has not yet been defined as some authorities have used a cut off 25,000 cells/mm3, 40,000 cells/mm3and 50,000 cells/mm3 respectively[2,3,4]. However a clear cut distinction has to be made between LR and chronic leukemia as both are treated differently.Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase (LAP) is an enzyme present in the cytoplasmic microsomes of neutrophils, band forms, metamyelocytes and myelocytes but not in lymphocytes or monocytes. Immature neutrophils such as those observed in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) have decreased LAP score while the stimulated neutrophils of LR have increased LAP score[5]. Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase score has been used since long to distinguish between LR and chronic leukemia[6,7].The absence of basophilia, eosinophilia and monocytosis along with increased leucocyte alkaline phosphatse LR from CML [3].

II. Material And Methods

Here we studied 10 caseswhose total leucocyte count low level cutoff was 55,000 cells/mm3.Review of patient record was done. All patient details like chief complaints, past and family history, general and systemic examination findings, diagnosis, investigations were noted. Patients having haematological malignancies were excluded.2ml of peripheral venous blood sample was collected in K_2 -EDTA vacutainer for haemogram and peripheral blood smear examination. Haemogram was done on Beckman coulter LH-750 fully automatedhematologyanalyser. Blood smears were made on clean glass slides and stained by Leishmanstain.

Two blood smears were made on clean glass slide using finger prick blood for LAP score staining. Similarly a single slide from finger prick blood of pregnant women in their third trimester were obtained and stained for LAP score which were used as control.

Leishman stained smears were thoroughly examined with special emphasis on differential cell count, LAP score staining and interpretation (**Table.1,2 and Fig.1**) which was done as per the procedure inDacie and Lewis Practical haematology [7].

III. Results

Ten cases with leukemoid reaction with a cut off lower limit of 55,000/mm³ were included in the study. The age of patients ranged from 14 years to 75 years, with mean age of 44.8 years. More male were having LR as compared to females; the male to female ratio was 2.3:1.Infection was the most common underlying cause seen in 80% cases while inflammation was seen in 20% cases. Renal abscess 3/8(37.5%), sepsis3/8(37.5%), pneumonia2/8(25%) respectively were the infective causes. Acute pancreatitis 2/2(100%) constituted the

inflammatory cause. Most of the patients were referred from other hospitals in critical condition who had a very short duration of hospital stay with fatal outcome. Theduration of hospital stay ranged from 1 to 26 days; while the mean was 7.8 days. Mortality was seen in 6/10(60%) cases while improvement was seen in 4/10(40%) cases. The highest total leucocyte count which was documentedranged from 55,300 cells/mm³ to 1,49,000 cells/mm³;mean of 73,500 cells/mm³(**Fig.2**)Differential count revealed left shift uptomyelocyte stage with predominance of neutrophils. Neutrophils showed hypersegmentation with toxic granules(**Fig. 3**).Last documented TLC ranged from 4,600 cells/mm³ to 1,49,000 cells/mm³; mean of 44,530 cells/mm3.Cases whoselast documented TLC count was high had a fatal outcome ; thus the relationship between total leucocyte count and outcome was satisticallysignificant (p-value 0.01 by Mann- Whitney test.) Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase score was elevated in all the cases (**Fig.4 and 5**) score ranged from 280 to 400, mean 344.8; control score mean was 208.3.Case details with reference to the outcome is shown below in **Table 3**.

IV. Discussion

Present cases showed left shift with predominance of neutrophils.Neutrophilia may be associated with the presence of left shift including band forms, metamyelocytes and blasts[8] The absence of basophilia, eosinophilia, monocytosis, increased leucocyte alkaline phosphatse score (LAP) distinguishes LR from CML.[3]

In our case series also the major causes of LR were severe infections, inflammation like pancreatitis intoxications, malignancies, severe haemorrhage, acute hemolysis[2,3]. Our study revealed fatal outcome in cases of infections, while Reding et al reported a high mortality rate with non-infectious diagnosis[9]. Mortality was seen more in female patients in a study by Lawrence et al while our study showed similarprelidiction for gender[4].

Reding et al and Waheed et al found that patients with TLC more than 25,000/mm3 at admission had higher mortality rate[9,10] whereas in our study it was not so so, however cases who were having high last documented total leucocyte count had fatal outcome(p value<0.05).

Present study showed age independent mortality, whereas possible explanation for high mortality in elderly may include age associated atypical clinical presentation yielding a diagnostic delay, postponed hospitalisation and presence of comorbidities[2]. Alternatively, LR might represent a functional compensatory response to several age related defects in neutrophil functions, including compromised chemotaxis and phagocytosis[11].

V. Conclusion

Leukemoid reaction is a rare condition that can be challenging as it is associated with increased mortality among patients. With a very high TLC bone marrow aspiration and biopsy is a necessary investigation but may not be done in critically ill patients who die within a day or two of hospitalisation. So careful diagnostic work-up, including complete blood count with peripheral blood smear revealing marked neutrophilia with left shift and high LAP score would definitely lead to the proper diagnosis and prior initiation of treatment, so we should always have a high index of suspicion.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Dr.GauravChhabra for his guidance and encouragement, Dr. ParagPatil for his help especially in taking photographs and also to SonaDharmendrafor helping in editing the manuscript.

References

- [1]. Krumbhaar EB. Leukemoid blood pictures in various clinical conditions. Am J Med Sci 172,1926,,519-533.
- [2]. Potasman I, Grupper M. Leukemoid reaction: spectrum and prognosis of 173 adult patients. Clin Infect Dis, 57(11), 2013, e177-181.
- [3]. Sakka V, Tsiodras S, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Giamarellou H. An update on the etiology and diagnostic evaluation of a leukemoid reaction. Eur J Intern Med, 17(6), 2006, 394-398.
- [4]. Lawrence YR, Raveh D, Rudensky B, Munter G. Extreme leukocytosis in the emergency department. QJM, 100(4), 2007, 217-223.
- [5]. Nimieri HS, Makoni SN, Madziwa FH, Nemiary DS. Leukemoid reaction response to chemotherapy and radiotherapy in a patient with cervical carcinoma. Ann Hematol, 82(5), 2003, 316-317.
- [6]. Holland SM, Gallin JI. Disorders of granulocytes and monocytes, in Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Jameson JL, Fauci AS, Longo DL, Loscalzo J (Ed.), Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 19(New York: McGraw-Hill,2008)410-419.
- [7]. Barbara J. Bain, David Swirsky. Erythrocyte and leucocyte cytochemistry, in Bain BJ, Bates I, Laffan MA, Lewis SM(Ed.), Dacie and Lewis Practical Haematology, 11(Elsevier Churchill Livingstone, 2012)341-342.
- [8]. Wenz B, Gennis P, Canova C, Burns ER. The clinical utility of the leukocyte differential in emergency medicine. Am J ClinPatho, 86(3), 1986, 298-303.
- [9]. Reding MT, Hibbs JR, Morrison VA, Swaim WR, FiliceGA.Diagnosis and outcome of 100 consecutive patients with extreme granulocytic leukocytosis. Am J Med, 104 (1), 1998, 12-16.
- [10]. Waheed U, Williams P, Brett S, Baldock G, Soni N. White cell count and intensive care unit outcome. WaheedAnaesthesia, 58(2), 2003, 180-182.
- [11]. De Martinis M, Modesti M, GinaldiL.Phenotypic and functional changes of circulating monocytes and polymorphonuclear leucocytes from elderly persons.Immunol Cell Biol, 82(4),2004, 415-420.



Figure 1: Steps involved in preparing and staining for LAP score.



Figure 2:Peripheral blood smear showing marked leucocytosis (Leishman stain 400X)



Figure 3: Peripheral blood smear showing Neutrophilia with toxic changes. (Leishman stain 1000X)



Figure 4: Peripheral blood smear showing Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase staining score 4 and 0 respectively(Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase stain 1000X)



Figure 5: Peripheral blood smear showing Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase staining ; score 3,2 and 1 respectively(Leucocyte alkaline phosphatase stain <u>1000X</u>)

	<u> </u>		
Fixative	4% formalin methanol		
Substrate	Naphthol AS phosphate (Sigma N-5625)		
Stock substrate solution	30mg naphthol AS phosphate disodium salt + 0.5ml N,N-		
	dimethylformamide (Sigma D-4551) + 100ml 0.2mol/l Tris buffer		
	pH 9.0. Mix thoroughly		
Coupling azo dye	Fast blue BB salt (Sigma F-0250)		
Working solution	40 ml stock substrate solution + 24mg Fast Blue BB. Mix		
_	thoroughly. Filter and use		
Counterstain	0.1% Neutral red or 0.1% Safranin		

Table 1: Reagents used for making stain for LAP.

Table 2: Interpretation for LAP stain

Step 1. The differential cell count (DLC) of all cases and controls was done on Leishman's stained peripheral blood smears.

Step 2. The overall LAP score was obtained by	0- Negative, no granules			
assessing the intensity of the stain in 100	1- Occasional granules scattered in the			
consecutive neutrophils	cytoplasm			
	2- Moderate number of granules			
	3- Numerous granules			
	4-Heavy positivity with numerous coarse			
	granules crowding the cytoplasm, frequently			
	overlying the nucleus			

Fable 3: Case details in relation to	patient outcome is a	as shown in table below
---	----------------------	-------------------------

Outcome	Number of patients	Highest TLC cells/mm3(Mean)	Last TLC cells/mm3 (Mean)	LAP score (Mean)	Hospital stay in days(Mean)
Expired	6	76,600	67,150	348	6
Improved/ Cured	4	68,850	5,780	339	10